



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,950	08/07/2002	Christian Neubauer	SCHO0068	6932

7590 02/09/2006

Glenn Patent Group  
Suite L  
3475 Edison Way  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

EXAMINER
----------

PIERRE, MYRIAM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2654

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/089,950		NEUBAUER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Myriam Pierre		2654	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 19947877.5.
  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Information Disclosure Statement*

1. The information disclosure statement filed 08/07/2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1,2,4,8, and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kate et al., Digital Audio Carrying Extra Information (ICASSP vol. 2 IEEE-90).

As to claim 1, Kate teach

method for introducing information into a data stream including data about spectral values representing a short-term spectrum of an audio signal (col. 5 lines 11-25; source data is introduced as audio and/or video information), including:

processing the data stream to obtain the spectral vales of the short-term spectrum of the audio signal (page 1097, right column, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph);

Art Unit: 2654

combining the information with a spread sequence to obtain a spread information signal (enable inaudible addition of extra information to an audio signal, Abstract);

generating a spectral representation of the spread information signal to obtain a spectral spread information signal (page 1097, right column, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph);

establishing psychoacoustic mask-able noise energy as a function of frequency for the short-term spectrum of the audio signal, wherein the psycho-acoustical maskable noise energy is smaller or the same as the psychoacoustic masking threshold of the short-term spectrum (inaudibility is guaranteed if the sound power level of the added signal is kept below the masking threshold; masking is most effective for frequency close to the frequency of the masking sound, masking is the psychoacoustic phenomenon which deals with the insensitivity of the human ear to sounds in the presence of other, page 1097, left column, 2<sup>nd</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> paragraphs);

weighting the spectrum spread information signal by using the established noise energy to generate a weighted information signal, wherein the energy of the introduced information is below the psychoacoustic masking threshold (page 1097, left column, 2<sup>nd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> paragraphs);

summing the weighted information signal with the spectral values of the short-term spectrum of the audio signal to obtain sum spectral values including the short-term spectrum of the audio signal and the information (inaudibility is guaranteed if the sound power level of the added signal is kept below the masking threshold; page 1097, left column, 2<sup>nd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> paragraphs);

processing the sum spectral values to obtain a processed data stream including the data about the spectral vales of the short-term spectrum of the audio signal and the

Art Unit: 2654

information to be introduced (inaudibility is guaranteed if the sound power level of the added signal is kept below the masking threshold; masking is most effective for frequency close to the frequency of the masking sound, masking is the psychoacoustic phenomenon which deals with the insensitivity of the human ear to sounds in the presence of other, page 1097, left column, 2<sup>nd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> paragraphs).

As to claim 2, which depends on claim 1, Kate et al. teach

wherein the data stream comprises quantized spectral values as data about spectral values, the step of processing of the inherent data stream including the following sub-steps (page 1098, left column, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph):

inverse quantizing the quantized spectral values to obtain the spectral values (Fig. 2 page 1100; inherent in the addition and retrieval); and

the step of processing the summed spectral values (page 1098, left column, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph) including:

quantizing the sum spectral value to obtain quantized sub-spectral values (subband signals are quantized, page 1098, left column, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph);

forming the processed data stream using the quantized sum spectral values (page 1098, left column, 2<sup>nd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> paragraphs).

As to claim 4, which depends on claim 1, Kate et al. teach

wherein the step of establishing the psychoacoustic maskable noise energy comprises:

computing the psychoacoustic masking threshold as function of frequency using a psychoacoustic model, which is based on the spectral values of the audio signal (page 1097; left column; 2<sup>nd</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> paragraphs).

As to claim 8, which depends on claim 1, Kate et al. teach

wherein the spectral value of the data stream are quantized such that the noise energy introduced by quantized such that the noise energy introduced by quantizing is smaller than the psychoacoustic masking threshold by a predetermined amount and wherein, in the step of establishing an energy corresponding to the predetermined amount is established (page 1097; left column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph; and page 1098; left column; 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> paragraphs); and

wherein in the step of weighting the spectral values of the spectral representation of the spread information signal are set such that they have an energy corresponding to the predetermined amount ((page 1097; left column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph; and page 1098; right column).

As to claim 10, which depends on claim 1, Kate et al. teach

wherein the step of processing the sum spectral values, in the same quantizing step sizes as in original data stream are used (page 1098; right column; paragraph 12; in order to retrieve the auxiliary signal from a received signal, quantize subbands, amplify signal to obtain ordinal signal, thus the same quantizing step sizes are used to obtain the original signal).

Art Unit: 2654

Claim 11 is directed toward a method of introducing information to implement or execute the method of claim 1, and is similar in scope and content of claim 1, therefore claim 11 is rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 12, which depends on claim 11, Kate et al. teach wherein in the step of forming an indication for the value of the predetermined amount is included in the bit stream (page 1098 left column 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> paragraphs; the predetermined amount is the estimated subband).

Claim 13 is directed toward an apparatus of introducing information to implement or execute the method of claim 11, and is similar in scope and content of claim 11, therefore, claim 13 is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 14 is directed toward an apparatus for encoding to implement or execute the method of claim 1, and is similar in scope and content of claim 1, therefore, claim 14 is rejected under similar rationale.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Art Unit: 2654

Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kate et al., Digital Audio Carrying Extra Information (ICASSP vol. 2 IEEE-90), in view of Chen et al. (6,300,888).

As to claim 3, which depends on claim 2, Kate et al. teach quantized spectral values in the data stream (page 1098, left column, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph)

Kate et al. do not teach data streams are entropy encoded.

However, Chen et al. do teach

wherein the quantized spectral values in the data stream are entropy encoded (col. 9 lines 65-67 and col. 10 lines 1-52), the step of processing the data stream including the following sub-step:

entropy-decoding the entropy-encoded spectral values to obtain the quantized spectral values (col. 5 lines 59-67); and

the step of processing the sum spectral values (col. 9 lines 65-67 and col. 10 lines 1-52) including:

entropy-encoding the quantized sum spectral values (col. 5 lines 59-67 and col. 6 lines 58-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the audio coding for extra information of Kate et al. into the entropy coding and decoding of Chen et al., because Chen et al. teach that this would reduce the size of data to transmit or store, col. 1 lines 61-63.



6. Claims 5-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kate et al., , Digital Audio Carrying Extra Information (ICASSP VOL. 2 IEEE-90), in view of Fielder (5,623,577).

As to claim 5, which depends on claim 1, Kate et al. teach  
wherein a masking threshold used in generating the data stream as function of frequency for the short-term spectrum is present in the data stream, the step of establishing including:

extracting the psychoacoustic masking threshold from the data stream, wherein the psychoacoustic maskable noise energy is the same as the psychoacoustic masking threshold (page 1097; left column 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph; and page 1098; left column; 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> paragraphs).

Kate et al. does not teach side information.

However, Fielder does teach side information (col. 3 lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the digital coding of extra information of Kate et al. into the side information of Fielder, because Fielder teaches that this would permit accurate de-quantization, col. 3 lines 1-5.

As to claim 6, which depends on claim 1, Kate et al. teach  
wherein the data stream further comprises scale factors by which the spectral values will be multiplied in groups in an audio encoder prior to quantizing, the step of

Art Unit: 2654

processing the data stream (page 1098; left column; 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> paragraphs) further including the following sub-steps:

extracting the scale factors from the data stream (page 1098; 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> paragraphs); and

the step of establishing including:

computing the noise energy introduced into the audio encoder when quantizing as function of frequency by using the scale factors for the short-term spectrum and by using the spectral values as well as knowing a quantizer used in the audio encoder, the introduced noise energy being a measure for the psychoacoustic noise energy used in weighting (page 1097; left column 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph; and page 1098; 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> paragraphs).

Kate et al. does not teach side information.

However, Fielder does teach side information (col. 3 lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the digital coding of extra information of Kate et al. into the side information of Fielder, because Fielder teaches that side information provides adjustments to the basic allocation values as necessary to obtain the same allocation values used in the encoder, in this way, the allocation function in an encoder maybe be changes without losing compatibility with existing decoders, and the compatibility between encoder and decoder is reduced, col. 7 lines 1-7.

As to claim 7, which depends on claim 6, Kate et al. teach

wherein the data stream is formed according to ISO/IEC 13818-7 (MPEG-2 AAC) and the step of estimating the noise energy comprises:

establishing a quantizing step for the spectral factor associated with this scale factor band (page 1097; left column 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph; and page 1098; 1<sup>st</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> paragraphs);

evaluating the following formula to obtain the noise energy for the scale factor band introduced by quantizing,

wherein  $x_i$  is the  $i$ -th spectral line in a scale factor band,  $QS$  is the quantizing step for this scale factor band and  $x_{min}$  is the noise energy introduced in the scale factor band by quantizing (page 1097 and page 1098);

the step of weighting including:

setting the spectral values of the spectral representation of the spread information signal in the scale factor band such that the total energy of the set spectral values is the same as the noise energy in this scale factor band obtained in the step of evaluation (page 1097 and page 1098; noise energy is measured via psychoacoustic masking, and scaling is performed via quantization process).

As to claim 9, which depends on claim 1, Kate et al. teach

wherein the value of the predetermined amount is presented as information in the data stream, in the step of establishing the value for the predetermined amount will be extracted from the data stream (col. 3 lines 1-4).

Kate et al. does not teach side information.

However, Fielder does teach side information (col. 3 lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the digital coding of extra information of Kate et al. into the side information of Fielder, because Fielder teaches that this would allow an allocation function to establish allocation values and explicitly pass these allocation values as "side information" to a decoder, col. 3 lines 1-5.

*Conclusion*

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myriam Pierre whose telephone number is 571-272-7611. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MP

1/4/2006

  
RICHEMOND DORVIL  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER